IN THE DRAWINGS

Applicants respectfully request permission to amend the drawings as indicated in red on the copy of the drawings attached hereto. Specifically, Applicant requests the following changes to the figures:

In Fig. 1, delete reference numeral "60"; and in Box 30, add the legend --PROCESSING UNIT--.

Attachment: Annotated Sheet.

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-9 remain in this application amended herein, are submitted for the Examiner's and, reconsideration.

In the Office Action, the drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5). An annotated drawing sheet is submitted with the present Amendment in which Fig. 1 is amended to correct the informalities.

Claims 2 and 7 were objected to because informalities, and claim 9 was objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c) as being in improper form. Claims 2, 7, and 9 have been amended to correct the informalities.

Claims 1-9 have also been amended to have the claims better conform to the requirements of U.S. practice.

As to the art rejections, claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishihara (U.S. Patent No. 5,134,405), and claims 2-8 were rejected as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Ishihara in view of McKivergan (U.S. Patent No. 6,329,953). Applicants submit that the claims are patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

Claim 1, as amended, calls for:

for displaying a radiation associated with the tool based on the video display said means for displaying the radiation diagram being disposed inside the anechoic chamber so that the person handling the tool observes how the the tool affects its electromagnetic handling of behavior. (Emphasis added.)

Ishihara discloses an anechoic chamber and a monitor located in an adjacent measuring room that is shielded from the anechoic chamber. Ishihara does not disclose or suggest a monitor disposed inside the anechoic chamber, and therefore the reference neither discloses nor suggests a means for displaying a radiation diagram disposed inside an anechoic chamber.

It follows that Ishihara does not disclose or suggest the combination defined in a claim 1 and therefore does not anticipate the claim.

Claims 2-8 each depend from claim 1. Therefore, each of claims 2-8 is distinguishable over Ishihara for at least the same reasons.

Fig. 6 of McKivergan is not concerned with the location of the monitor. Therefore, the relied-on section of McKivergan does not remedy the above-described deficiencies of Ishihara.

Applicants therefore respectfully request the withdrawal of the Examiner's objections and the withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a).

As it is believed that all of the rejections set forth in the Official Action have been fully met, favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited. If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which the Examiner might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: February 21, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence E. Russ

Registration No.: 35,342 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant

734999_1.DOC